(760) 352-5561 Filed 07/0<u>3/</u>2008 Pag 08 02:33p Walker & Driskill, Case 3:08-cv-00601-H-PCL Document PLC р.3 Document 10 Page 1 of 10 FILED 2008 JUL -3 PM 3: 37 CLERK US ENDINE CALIFORNIA Mitchell A. Driskill (SBN 157046) Steven M. Walker (SBN 110098) WALKER & DRISKILL, PLC 300 South Imperial Avenue, Ste. 9 DEPUTY El Centro, California 92243 Telephone: (760) 352-4001 Facsimile: (760) 352-5561 Attorneys for Defendant, JAMES RAY MORRIS 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT BY FAX 8 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 CASE NO. 08cv0601 JLS JMA ADRÍANA FERNANDEZ. . 11 **DEFENDANT JAMES RAY** Plaintiff. MORRIS' ANSWER TO 12 COMPLAINT 13 JAMES RAY MORRIS, HAROLD CARTER, RAYMOND LOERA, COUNTY 14 OF IMPERIAL, IMPERIAL COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, and DOES 15 1-100. inclusive. 16 Defendants. 17 COMES NOW Defendant JAMES RAY MORRIS (hereinafter "Answering 18 Defendant") in answer to the Complaint of ADRIANA FERNANDEZ (hereinafter "Plaintiff") 19 and no others, and admits, denies and alleges as follows: 20 Answering Paragraph 1 of the Complaint, Answering Defendant admits that 1. 21 Title 42 U.S.C. Section 1983 provides a civil remedy for the violation of a person's constitutional or statutory rights. With the exception of the facts expressly admitted above, 23 Answering Defendant denies each and every remaining allegation in paragraph 1 of the complaint. 25 Answering paragraph 2 of the complaint, Answering Defendant admits he 2. 26 was employed as a deputy sheriff assigned by the Imperial County Sheriff's Department 27 to work as a guard at the Imperial County jail. With the exception of the facts expressly 28 Case No. 08cv0601 JLS JMA DEFENDANT MORRIS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT ORIGINAL

6

7

9

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 3. Answering paragraph 3 of the complaint, Answering Defendant admits he had consensual sexual relations with two other females incarcerated at Imperial County jail. With the exception of the facts expressly admitted above, Answering Defendant denies each and every remaining allegation in paragraph 3 of the complaint.
- 4. Answering paragraph 4 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 5. Answering paragraph 5 of the complaint, the allegations of this paragraph are jurisdictional, and assuming that subject matter jurisdiction exists over this action, Answering Defendant admits that the Southern District of California would be the proper venue.
- 6. Answering paragraph 6 of the complaint, Answering Defendant has no information or belief sufficient to enable him to answer the allegations contained in Paragraph 6 of the Complaint and, based upon said lack of information and belief, denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 7. Answering paragraph 7 of the complaint, Answering Defendant admits each and every allegation contained therein.
- 8. Answering paragraph 8 of the complaint, Answering Defendant admits that Harold Carter was at times a duly elected Sheriff of Imperial County. With the exception of the facts expressly admitted above, Answering Defendant denies each and every remaining allegation in paragraph 8 of the complaint.
- 9. Answering paragraph 9 of the complaint, Answering Defendant admits that Ray Loera was at times a duly elected Sheriff of Imperial County. With the exception of the facts expressly admitted above, Answering Defendant denies each and every remaining allegation in paragraph 9 of the complaint.
- 10. Answering paragraph 10 of the complaint, Answering Defendant admits that he was a law enforcement officer employed by Imperial County Sheriff's Department and

13

18

16

21

26

24

Imperial County. With the exception of the facts expressly admitted above, Answering Defendant denies each and every remaining allegation in paragraph 10 of the complaint.

- 11. Answering paragraph 11 of the complaint, Answering Defendant has no information or belief sufficient to enable him to answer the allegations contained in Paragraph 11 of the Complaint and, based upon said lack of information and belief, denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 12. Answering paragraph 12 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 13. Answering paragraph 13 of the complaint, Answering Defendant admits each and every allegation contained therein.
- 14. Answering paragraph 14 of the complaint, Answering Defendant admits that he was one of the correctional officers with whom Plaintiff came into contact with during her stay at the Imperial County jail. With the exception of the facts expressly admitted above, Answering Defendant denies each and every remaining allegation in paragraph 10 of the complaint.
- 15. Answering paragraph 15 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 16. Answering paragraph 16 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 17. Answering paragraph 17 of the complaint, Answering Defendant admits each and every allegation contained therein.
- 18. Answering paragraph 18 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 19. Answering paragraph 19 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 20. Answering paragraph 20 of the complaint, Answering Defendant has no information or belief sufficient to enable him to answer the allegations contained in Paragraph 20 of the Complaint and, based upon said lack of information and belief, denies

7

10

28

each and every allegation contained therein.

- Answering paragraph 21 of the complaint, Answering Defendant has no 21. information or belief sufficient to enable him to answer the allegations contained in Paragraph 21 of the Complaint and, based upon said lack of information and belief, denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 22 of the complaint, Answering Defendant has no 22. information or belief sufficient to enable him to answer the allegations contained in Paragraph 22 of the Complaint and, based upon said lack of information and belief, denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 23 of the complaint, Answering Defendant has no 23. information or belief sufficient to enable him to answer the allegations contained in Paragraph 23 of the Complaint and, based upon said lack of information and belief, denies each and every allegation contained therein.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- Answering paragraph 24 of the complaint, Answering Defendant reasserts 24. and realleges his responses to paragraphs 1 to 23 of Plaintiff's complaint.
- Answering paragraph 25 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each 25. and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 26 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each 26. and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 27 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each 27. and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 28 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each 28. and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 29 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each 29. and every allegation contained therein.
  - Answering paragraph 30 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each 30.

4

5

7

8

11

16 17

15

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

and every allegation contained therein.

- Answering paragraph 31 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each 31. and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 32 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each 32. and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 33 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each 33. and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 34 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each 34. and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 35 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each 35. and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 36 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each 36. and every allegation contained therein.

# SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

- Answering paragraph 37 of the complaint, Answering Defendant reasserts 37. and realleges his responses to paragraphs 1 to 36 of Plaintiff's complaint.
- Answering paragraph 38 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each 38. and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 39 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each 39. and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 40 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each 40. and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 41 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.

## THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

- Answering paragraph 42 of the complaint, Answering Defendant reasserts 42. and realleges his responses to paragraphs 1 to 41 of Plaintiff's complaint.
  - Answering paragraph 43 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each 43.

and every allegation contained therein.

6

15

24

28

26

and every allegation contained therein. Answering paragraph 44 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each 44.

#### **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

- Answering paragraph 45 of the complaint, Answering Defendant reasserts 45. and realleges his responses to paragraphs 1 to 44 of Plaintiff's complaint.
- Answering paragraph 46 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each 46. and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 47 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each 47. and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 48 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each 48. and every allegation contained therein.

# FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

- Answering paragraph 49 of the complaint, Answering Defendant reasserts 49. and realleges his responses to paragraphs 1 to 48 of Plaintiff's complaint.
- Answering paragraph 50 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each 50. and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 51 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each 51. and every allegation contained therein.

#### SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

- Answering paragraph 52 of the complaint, Answering Defendant reasserts 52. and realleges his responses to paragraphs 1 to 51 of Plaintiff's complaint.
- Answering paragraph 53 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each 53. and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 54 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each 54. and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 55 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each 55. and every allegation contained therein.

56. Answering paragraph 56 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

# SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

- 57. Answering paragraph 57 of the complaint, Answering Defendant reasserts and realleges his responses to paragraphs 1 to 56 of Plaintiff's complaint.
- 58. Answering paragraph 58 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 59. Answering paragraph 59 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.

## **EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

- 60. Answering paragraph 60 of the complaint, Answering Defendant reasserts and realleges his responses to paragraphs 1 to 59 of Plaintiff's complaint.
- 61. Answering paragraph 61 of the complaint, Answering Defendant admits the Unruh Civil Rights Act prohibits discrimination by all business establishments in California. With the exception of the facts expressly admitted above, Answering Defendant denies each and every remaining allegation in paragraph 10 of the complaint.
- 62. Answering paragraph 62 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 63. Answering paragraph 63 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 64. Answering paragraph 64 of the complaint, Answering Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.

#### First Affirmative Defense

For a first, separate affirmative defense, Answering Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the Complaint and each cause of action contained therein, fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against Answering Defendant.

p.9

8

4

10

14

13

16

15

17 18

20

19

21 22

23

24

26

27 28 **Second Affirmative Defense** 

For a second, separate affirmative defense, Answering Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, without admitting the truth of any allegation of the Complaint, and specifically denying the same, that if Plaintiff suffered or sustained any damages, that damage was proximately caused and contributed to by persons other than Answering Defendant, and liability of all responsible parties, named or unnamed, should be apportioned according to the relative degrees of fault and the liability answering Defendant should be reduced accordingly.

## **Third Affirmative Defense**

For a third, separate affirmative defense, Answering Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, without admitting the truth of any allegation of the Complaint, and specifically denying the same, that as to each alleged cause of action, Plaintiff failed to take reasonable steps to mitigate, alter, reduce or otherwise diminish Plaintiffs' alleged damages, if any.

# Fourth Affirmative Defense

For a fourth, separate affirmative defense, Answering Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, without admitting the truth of any allegation of the Complaint, and specifically denying the same, that Plaintiff, having been the sole or major contributing factor to the alleged losses based upon her own fault or misconduct, her unlawful, careless, negligent, and/or other wrongful conduct, is guilty of unclean hands and being in pari delicto and is thus barred from seeking relief against Answering Defendant by the equitable Doctrine of Unclean Hands.

#### Fifth Affirmative Defense

For a fifth, separate affirmative defense, Answering Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the Complaint and each purported cause of action contained therein, was brought without reasonable care and without a good faith belief that there was a justiciable controversy under the facts and law at the filing of said Complaint against Answering Defendant; now Plaintiff is, therefore, responsible for all

5

7 8

9

11

12 13

> 14 15

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

necessary and reasonable defense costs including attorneys fees, incurred by Answering Defendant.

#### Sixth Affirmative Defense

For a sixth, separate affirmative defense, Answering Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the Complaint and each purported cause of action contained therein, is barred by applicable statutes of limitation.

#### Seventh Affirmative Defense

For an seventh, separate affirmative defense, Answering Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the Complaint herein is barred by the Doctrine of Laches.

## **Eighth Affirmative Defense**

For an eighth, separate affirmative defense, Answering Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the Complaint herein is barred due to Plaintiff's failure to exhaust her administrative remedies.

#### Ninth Affirmative Defense

For an ninth, separate affirmative defense, Answering Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the Complaint herein is barred by Plaintiff's failure to comply with the California Tort Claims Act.

#### **Tenth Affirmative Defense**

For an tenth, separate affirmative defense, Answering Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the Complaint herein is barred by California Civil Code §§ 52.1, 52.3, among others.

Case No. 08cv0601 JLS JMA

# **Eleventh Affirmative Defense**

For an eleventh, separate affirmative defense, Answering Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the Complaint herein is barred by immunities provided for in the California Government Code, including but not limited to Government Code §§ 820 et seq., and 844 et seq., among others.

# **Twelfth Affirmative Defense**

Answering Defendant presently has insufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to whether he has additional, as yet unstated, affirmative Answering Defendant reserves the right to assert additional affirmative defenses. defenses in the event Answering Defendant discover the same.

WHEREFORE, Answering Defendant prays for judgment herein as follows:

- That Plaintiff take nothing by way of her Complaint; 1.
- That Answering Defendant be awarded reasonable attorneys' fees, costs of 2. suit and costs of investigation;
  - That Answering Defendant be dismissed; and 3.
  - For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper. 4.

DATED: July 3, 2008

WALKER & DRISKILL, PLC

By:

nevs for Defendant,

James Ŕay Morris

25

1

2

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28